


**Magazine of the British National Party**

# Identity

£1.95

August 2004  
Issue 46

## Turning Up The Heat



**The CRE, the BBC and Barclays launch their attack on the British National Party**



# August's News

## ■ A DANGEROUS GAME

The news that ACPO - the Association of Chief Police Officers - have called for BNP members to be barred from serving in the police comes as no surprise. These modern-day police 'top brass' have mostly made it to the top of New Labour's bureaucratised social worker police service by displaying their commitment to Political Correctness.

Their departure from Britain's traditions of non-political policing is outrageous, and appals anyone who values free speech and democracy.

The move is 'justified' by the claim that "no one who belongs to a party which supports racial discrimination can be a police officer." So does that rule out members of the Tory, LibDem or Labour parties - all of which favour the so-called 'positive discrimination' which has turned the natives of our country into second class citizens?

## ■ Asylum Flood 'Just a Myth'

Claims that Britain is facing a tidal wave of immigrants were dismissed as scaremongering by MPs last month. A report by the Commons International Development Committee, that obviously does not live in the real world, said that "immigrants benefited the country and should not be regarded as a problem".

Committee chairman, Tory MP Tony Baldry, said: "The idea that Britain is in the frontline of dealing with migrants and refugees is simply wrong."

## ■ Poaching Third World's Doctors

James Johnson, the chairman of the council of the British Medical Association, has accused the health service of poaching doctors from overseas because it failed to produce enough of its own. He said Britain still did not have enough doctors despite the 32,000 extra appointments since 1997.

"Throughout the history of the NHS we have relied on other countries to fill our manpower gaps both for nurses and for doctors," he said. "As the fourth largest economy in the world we are still doing so, still taking doctors away from countries like South Africa and nurses from the Philippines who need them more than we do. It's a shameful record of exploitation. Surely after half a century we should be producing enough doctors to look after patients."

## ■ 3.9 Million Immigrants In Ten Years

Latest figures from the Office for National Statistics shows that in the decade up to 2002, 3.9 million people entered the UK as migrants (this does not include the unknown number of illegals) while 2.8 million left the country, giving a net influx of more than a million. The latest data also says that 8.3 % of the UK population (4.9 million) was born overseas. No figures were given for those people born in this country of immigrant stock but it can be assumed that it would not be less than the 4.9 million new immigrants.

Of the new immigrants the new data says that Indians accounted for the largest group followed by Pakistanis.

## ■ Whitehall Warriors Get £1000 Chairs

British troops in Iraq who have often had to buy their own boots and other equipment will be interested to know that more than 3,000 Ministry of Defence civil servants in Whitehall have now been supplied with ultra comfortable chairs costing £1000 each. This is part of a £342 million refurbishment carried out at the ministry.

Meanwhile the Royal Navy will lose seven surface ships, the Army, overstretched with Blair's vanity driven world policeman's acts, will lose as many as four Army battalions of infantry, and the RAF will lose five bases, 7,000 personnel and many of

its front-line aircraft.

## ■ Violence For The Sake Of It

Joseph Gbonda, an 18-year-old black youth from Herne Hill, South London was jailed for three years for leading a gang which attacked and robbed passengers on night buses to and from the West End and commuter trains outside London. The trial heard how the gang surrounded victims before beating them and threatening them with knives. One victim was beaten so severely he needed plastic surgery to reconstruct his face.

A police officer said they robbed to outdo each other in violence rather than make money because many of the stolen goods were found untouched at their homes.

## ■ Chinese Drugs Takeaway

A South London gang that supplied drugs from a Chinese takeaway has been jailed for a total of 16 years. Two men and a woman were sentenced for using the Royal China restaurant in Streatham High Road as a drugs den.

From the front counter the Chinese gang served up food. But from the back door they supplied ecstasy, ketamine and cannabis and even offered a drugs delivery service to their customers. Police found £66,000 of drugs hidden among rice and noodles in the kitchen and in their warehouse.

# Identity

Editor: John Bean

August 2004

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:

PO Box 841,

Balsham, CB1 6WF

Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Note: Back issues can only be supplied for a minimum of 3 copies.

Articles should be submitted to the

addresses below in

typed/electronic format.

PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 8WT.

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.



# They Fear The BNP

If any doubting reader wanted proof of the impact that the BNP has made upon the British political scene over the last few years then it came with three major events last month. The first was the news that Trevor Phillips' (he with the bust of Karl Marx upon his desk) Commission for Racial Equality, but no doubt manufactured by New Labour, which would take the BNP to the courts and bleed it dry for not admitting a non-European to membership of the party.

The second was the BBC TV *Secret Agent* smear documentary on the BNP, which used its long established 'selective editing' technique to portray the Party in the worst light possible. This latest liberal-left attack by the odious BBC, paid for by our licence fees, was supported by lies and misinformation supplied by the Searchlight organisation, led by the convicted burglar and one time Communist Party candidate, Gerry Gable. We hope that readers also saw Nick Griffin expose the falseness of many of the programme's charges in his news interviews and his excellent performance on BBC2's *Newsnight*, where Gavin Esler became the latest media heavyweight to receive a verbal hiding from the BNP Chairman.

Obviously, the multicultural establishment read more into the success of the BNP in gaining the support of over 800,000 electors at the Euro elections than a few of our own supporters did. In spite of their election dirty tricks and the hyping up of UKIP to draw off a considerable number of our potential votes, the establishment were shocked by the BNP result and our gaining four more councillors. Hence their latest attacks. They really fear us! Of course the upside of the BBC attack was the immense publicity throughout the media that this has given us. Very useful at a time when our name has gone off the front page following our recent election campaign.

So enough of the nutters and extremists that one will find on the periphery of any radical party - and unfortunately a couple of those were picked out in the BBC's latest attack upon us before we could expel them. Let us move on to another one of the opposition moves designed to divide us or close us down - the attack by the Commission for Racial Equality on our 'discriminatory' membership requirements.

## "Whatever it takes"

The BNP's immediate response to the CRE and Black Link's attempts to bleed us dry in the courts has brought several protests from well-intentioned party activists. We fully understand and empathise with their immediate reactions, but they must put faith in the Party leadership to find a formula that will enable us to continue our battle to fight for the existence of our race and nation. The phrase "whatever it takes" doesn't imply illegality, but political cunning and flexibility.

Now before anyone, including some 'hard liners' who have been in the struggle for many years, accuses me of "selling out", my record of opposing the effects of

immigration upon our land go back to 1951. I was quite honoured, therefore, when Nick Griffin referred to me as the BNP's "oldest dog still hunting". In fact when I was a young puppy back in 1951 I was the apprentice

painter when an old Nationalist colleague and I put up the first "KBW" slogan on a Brixton wall. Initially it stood for "Keep Brixton White" (obviously, nobody took any attention to it) and later came to mean "Keep Britain White", which was not particularly successful either. At the time it was a statement of socio-political intent and not meant as an insult to any passing West Indian immigrant. Politically it is pointless to use such a slogan today, even if it was legal to do so. However, I feel no need to apologise for my act and my subsequent campaigning against mass immigration, which never entailed abuse of non-European peoples per se.

## CRE letter

Those members who were initially dismayed at the thought of the actions we may have to take in order to survive should read the letter received from the CRE, reprinted on our page seven, and in full on the BNP website. If we had just ignored it and hoped it might go away then we would have ended up fighting this powerful opponent on their terms and on their ground, or at best would have had the whole problem 'sprung' on us with no time to think it through and make the best possible response.

I fully understand how some people have been disturbed by the debate on this subject, but hope that the solution proposed in Nick Griffin's main article this month will put an end to their concerns.

Finally, the move by Barclays Bank to close the BNP's accounts marks a further turn of the screw. This isn't a matter of our right to bank with a particular institution, but is undoubtedly the first step in moves to deprive us of the right to bank in Britain at all - an attempt to force us into a position of semi-illegality. There's no doubt about it we've got 'em worried!



**John Bean**

**Editor**



# The Great Debate - The End of the Road

By Nick Griffin

With the June elections out of the way, a British National Party leadership conference discussed our possible responses to moves by the Commission for Racial Equality to take the party to court over our "Brits only" membership qualification. Such an action could well make the party's financial position untenable and lead to our being deregistered by the Electoral Commission thereby being barred from contesting elections. It was agreed that keeping the debate about how we should respond behind closed doors would allow opposition rumour-mongers a field day at our expense. It was therefore decided to hold an open debate on our website.

This was kicked off with an opening statement setting out the problem and the four options that appeared to be available to us. Option A was to change nothing and to prepare for a massively expensive legal battle with the CRE. Option B was to accept that the electoral road would in due course be closed to us and to transform the BNP into a Civil Rights campaign. Option C was to ballot the membership on changing the constitution to let non-whites join. Option D was to ballot the membership on changing the constitution to set up parallel BNPs for non-whites who agree that integration is against all our interests.

The opening statement explained why it was felt that Options A, B and C were all unacceptable, and the debate went from there. After several weeks of thoughtful and passionate exchanges both on and off the web the debate was closed by a definitive statement by Nick Griffin. This is so crucial to understanding the likely future of the party that a slightly amended version is reprinted here

While the first major ideological and tactical debate in the party's history was raging on the internet and in local branches the length and breadth of Britain, one of the behind-the-scenes jobs of the BNP's Legal Department was to continue researching the circumstances of the Belgian Establishment's very similar attack on our sister party the Vlaams Blok.

The more this is examined, the more one is forced to the conclusion that neither of the reasons so far given for this ruthlessly undemocratic assault is strictly correct: The VB themselves maintain that they are not racist, and that the reason for the attack is that they are Flemish separatists who want to divide Belgium.

While this is undoubtedly a good propaganda line, we can't really buy the line that the Belgium Establishment - which has fallen over itself in its haste to bury its country in the European federal superstate - is suddenly so full of patriot fervour that it is prepared to destroy democracy in order to maintain the sovereignty of Belgium.

That Establishment itself, meanwhile, maintains that the Blok's capital offence is that it is 'racist'. Yet one of the statements which are key grounds for the attack is a warning

about Islamic fundamentalism given by one of their female Turkish members. The Vlaams Blok has allowed non-whites membership for many years, and has a number of them in quite high profile positions.

No! The cries of 'racism' are just the excuse. The Belgian Establishment want to destroy the Vlaams Blok not because it is racist, but because it is a threat to them, and 'racism' is their most morally 'respectable' justification. They simply cannot afford to have a sophisticated, well-organised, popular, radical (relatively) alternative to their incestuous little 'mainstream' clique, bouncing around providing a potential home for a disillusioned electorate.

## TERROR STRIKE

It would be bad enough for them normally, with the usual Western concerns about mass immigration, globalisation and crime all unsettling the body politic. But add to that the potential of the Detroux paedophile ring scandal to blow up again and engulf some of the most important men in Belgian and European Union politics, together with the ever-present threat of an Islamicist terror strike sparking massive communal violence, and it is perfectly clear why

Belgian's judiciary and politicians want to murder the Vlaams Blok before it is too late.

I stress this point not primarily in order to bring home to BNP members just how serious the attack by our own Commission for Racial Equality on us really is, but in order to explain the tactical thinking behind the fresh proposals which I am about to set out - proposals which have emerged as a result of our intense debate on this issue.

Despite my having ruled out Option C - opening our membership doors to all and sundry - in the statement that opened this debate, there have been two very different groups that have continued to talk up this possible solution. First, there have been the mischief-makers, a small network of has-beens and would-be rivals who thought that they could gain factional advantage by pretending that the CRE problem doesn't exist and blaming talk of constitutional change on the alleged fact that "Nick Griffin is a dripping-wet liberal." Since no one takes this nonsense seriously, however, nothing more need be said about its self-serving propagators.

The second group, on the other hand, comprise a significant proportion of our hardest working and most dedicated officials and



activists, particularly those whose community politics work not only wins council seats but also brings them into regular contact with many members of the public. This has made them all too familiar with comments invariably beginning with the phrase "I'm not a racist, but...." and with those whose support would undoubtedly be easier to obtain if we had a 'civic nationalist' worldview and constitution which spouted the fiction that a kitten born in a kipper box thereby becomes a kipper.

These people, for perfectly well intentioned and understandable reasons, have seen in this problem the opportunity to undermine the credibility of our opponents' undoubtedly damaging 'racist' tag. "Let in a few token blacks," so the theory goes, "and not only will we get the CRE off our backs, but a lot of the media as well."

Well, in my capacity as Chairman, it's one of my duties to disappoint people whenever necessary, and I'm going to have to disappoint those some of them good friends of mine whose dedication to our Cause is second to none - who have come to think along those lines.

The British National Party is not going to let in a single 'token' non-white.

But before my equally dedicated and valuable "over my dead body" comrades give three rousing cheers, I've got to disappoint them as well by adding "until we are forced to, as we almost certainly will be."

So if we are going to be forced to take them in the end, why not bite the psychological, moral and ideological bullet now, simply taking steps to ensure that we ingest as little lead as possible, and then go on to benefit from the fact that our media spokesmen would have an extra weapon with which to rebut charges of 'racism'?

Because of two words: 'Time' and 'space' - and the fact that we need as much as possible of both. Look again at the plight of the Vlaams Blok. Since the Belgian version of the CRE cannot attack them for not having non-white members, it is attacking on far more subtle grounds, including alleged "incitement to discrimination" and because the VB's proposal that immigrants should "assimilate or leave" does not leave the poor dears an extra choice

- presumably turning 'their' parts of Belgium into replicas of their Third World homelands.

Thus the VB have virtually no ground left over which to retreat. Already fully 'civic nationalist' in terms of their constitution and their membership, they don't have any recognisably 'nationalist' position to fall back upon. No doubt they'll come up with something, but there is a danger that their replacement party will be so Politically Correct that the public will be unable to tell the difference between it and the old gang it seeks to replace.

The BNP, in happy contrast, has a large amount of political space and hence organisational time between it and the effective castration which seems to loom for whatever new party emerges the day after the Vlaams Blok is finally shut down. But if we give it up by moving immediately to a civic nationalist position, then the CRE and its ilk will simply attack us all over again, and instead of finding ourselves in the present position of the Vlaams Blok in perhaps a dozen years, we'd find ourselves there in just two or three.

This need to preserve as much time and space to manoeuvre, then, would at first sight drive us towards fighting a pitched legal battle with the Commission for Racial Equality, in which we would have to expend huge amounts of money in a desperate struggle to remain solvent and legal. First there would be the cost of a whole team of lawyers at hundreds of pounds each per hour, then the prospect of ending up having to pay the even more extravagant legal fees of the CRE, plus a potentially massive payout to their pet claimant, and subsequent similar handouts to every single 'ethnic' who cares to join the queue.

This prospect is, however, so clearly suicidal that my initial proposal wrote off this 'Option A' immediately. The debate of the last few weeks, however, has produced a new twist to this Option, which is breathtaking in its simplicity and potential for political ju-jitsu against our overpowering opponent:

Instead of expending probably hundreds of thousands of pounds fighting in a rigged contest for our right to exist and operate in accord

#### ANTI-BRITISH: Trevor Phillips, head of the CRE



with our principles, we simply tell Trevor Phillips where to go! We represent ourselves in his kangaroo court, and call his bluff, speaking out on behalf of the basic human right of every single person in this country white, black, brown, yellow or purple to 'choose'.

Who do you allow in your house? Who do you employ? Who do you socialise with? Who do you have in your party? The State has no right to interfere in that choice. It never did have, and it never will have.

Since the modern British state seeks to usurp that right, we are forced to defy it, as a matter of principle, particularly in view of the racist bias which is shown by the fact that bodies such as the Black Police Officers' Association are regarded as praiseworthy, while any organisation seeking to represent the indigenous natives of these islands is forbidden or hounded to destruction.

#### PRETENCE

So let them do just that! Let us force them to end the pretence that this is about the 'right' of Messrs. Black, Singh and Khan to join the BNP. It is not. It is about the right of millions of British voters (including not a few Blacks and Singhs) to have the choice of voting for a party which stands outside the liberal-left consensus, and maintains that the native peoples of Britain not only have their own unique identities, but have the right to take whatever steps they decide are necessary to protect and preserve them.

The British National Party is no longer a tiny, unheard of, sect, which the Powers That Be can dispose of as they see fit. We are a household name, actively supported by 5% of

the entire electorate (plus whatever percentage of our vote was stolen from us), and with varying degrees of sympathy among vast swathes of the population.

Simultaneously, public lack of confidence in the established parties has reached such low levels that it is now commonplace for political commentators to echo the sentiments of the editorial of *The Mail on Sunday* on 18<sup>th</sup> July. This discussed not just the shattering unpopularity of the Labour government but also fretted that "the much more serious problem is the slow death of the Tories."

"As long as the millions of lost voters choose apathy, the situation will be dispiriting but not actually alarming. The danger is that the indifference will turn to anger, and the passive scorn into active disgust, and there will be no mainstream party which can channel these passions into parliamentary politics."

Precisely! It would be a terribly dangerous gamble for the Establishment to chose such a time for one of its least popular group of tax-gobblers to force the best-known radical alternative party into bankruptcy and off the list of parties 'permitted' to stand in supposedly democratic elections. The more so given that everyone involved in that party would be free to establish a new one quite possibly with the same name every next day.

(Please note that I say "possibly with the same name." We are, as everybody who has followed this debate already understands, caught between a rock and a hard place here. There is no painless option, no defying the monstrous power of the modern British State without some loss. This course will cost us any

assets which we may have when the CRE comes in for the kill, and that might include our name. I believe this would be unfortunate, because it has become clear over the last couple of years that, while it is still a matter of suspicion for some should-be supporters, it has also become a highly attractive, high-recognition asset among many others. But, as Lenin is supposed to have said, you can't make an omelette without breaking some eggs, and, in the end, it is people who make an effective political organisation, not a name. In any case, we'll worry about that nearer the time).

And, of course, all this is will take place in the full glare of mass media outlets which know that stories about the 'wicked' British National Party and the deeply unpopular Commission for Racial Equality sell like hot cakes.

#### SLOW TO ANGER

The British electorate have been - and still are slow to anger and slow to change. But June 10<sup>th</sup> produced the clearest set of signs yet that they are getting angry and that they are becoming willing to change. The sight of a New Labour creature like Trevor Phillips closing down the BNP could be the last straw for a very significant number of them.

Nor would it end there. Whatever new nightmare for the liberal-left emerged phoenix-like from the ashes the next day, bodies like the CRE would in turn reorganise their attacks, leading after another few years to a similar situation. But because each time this happens, we will reform just within the new law, as established by the previous case, it should take several such tactical

**NO WHITE FACES:** The Black Police Officers Association





18 November 2003  
OurRef:IS-037234-TL

Mr Nick Griffin, Chair The  
British National Party P.O.  
Box 287 Waltham Cross  
Herts EN8 8ZT

Dear Mr Griffin

RECORDED DELIVERY NO. RW 0549 2410 5GB

RE: 1976 RACE RELATIONS ACT: MR BALVINDER DROOCE v THE BRITISH  
NATIONAL PARTY  
RACE RELATIONS (QUESTIONS AND REPLIES) ORDER 1977

We have received an application for assistance from the above-named who claims that he has been racially discriminated against by the Party, contrary to the provisions of the Race Relations Act 1976.

Under section 66 of the Race Relations Act, the Commission has a duty to consider applications for assistance from individuals who claim to be who intend to take proceedings under the Act. The Commission will consider whether to grant assistance in various types of legal representation at an Employment Tribunal or a County Court. A decision on what form of assistance, if any is granted to an applicant, is made by the Legal Affairs Committee of the Commission under delegated authority approved under the Act. The Commission may undertake such enquiries, as necessary, before such a decision is made.

Accordingly, in accordance with Section 65 of the Act (on behalf of the applicant), I serve upon you an RR65 Questionnaire (and guidance notes on completing your response). You are requested to let me have your full response to the Questionnaire within twenty-one days of the receipt of this letter. Please reply in duplicate.

The Commission is under a statutory duty to decide and inform the applicant, within 23 months of the receipt of the application, what assistance, if any, is granted. It is the policy of the Legal Affairs Committee to give full consideration to RR65 responses before taking a final decision on an application. The Committee will be considering the following issues when doing so. It would therefore be helpful to the Committee and in your own interest to ensure that you receive your response to the RR65 within twenty-one days. Failure to comply with the

UNITED KINGDOM  
FOR JUST SOCIETY


deadline may result in the Committee providing the applicant with full legal representation. Such a decision is bound to have significant financial and other implications for you.

Although you are not obliged to respond to the RR65 Questionnaire, failure to do so will entitle both ourselves and any Tribunal or Court to draw such inferences as may be considered just and proper. In this regard, I would draw your particular attention to Section 65(2) of the Act.

I look forward to hearing from you within twenty-one days of the receipt of this letter with full answers to the questions set out in the enclosed RR65 form.

I regret there will be no extension to the deadline, save in the most exceptional circumstances.

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter and the Questionnaire.

Yours sincerely,  
  
B. LAYNE (M)  
Legal Affairs Department  
Etc.

## LETTER FROM THE CRE: View this online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)

withdrawals even before we are pushed to the point where whatever succeeds the Vlaams Blok will be this September. And each time they force us to do this, a further section of the British public will see what is going on and resolve to do something about it.

So we no longer need to chose between Options A, B or C. We are going for a modified Option A to start with. While that is grinding its way through court, we will be working on the finer details of Option B, not necessarily expecting it to be legally unassailable, but hoping that it will give a number of very serious headaches to those who would destroy us.

Then, if our position at Option B becomes untenable some years later, we will be pushed to Option C, and the theory of those who believe that "a few token non-whites" would bring us political benefits among the brainwashed and floppy-minded electorate will be put to the test. They are almost certainly right, so that will be a handy bonus when we get to that point.

As we've already seen from the problems faced by our Flemish counterparts, it won't end there. The legal assaults will continue, not because the Powers That Be want us to be 'fair' to their pet ethnic 'minorities', but because they want to destroy nationalism as an organised political force, as it is the only viable alternative to their misrule and their

treason.

So at some stage in the final ten years in which white/European/Christian (delete according to preference) civilisation can be saved, we will probably end up with Options D, E and F as well, with those minorities who will share our opposition to the final Third World Islamic flood being treated as absolute equals or even as Sacred Cows in our Camp of the Saints as we wage the final struggle for our people and our culture to survive.

### THROW DOWN THE GAUNTLET

But we can worry about the details of such arrangements when the time arises. Just for now, all party members need to know is that the BNP hereby throws down the gauntlet to Trevor Phillips and his Race Equality Commissars:

"Come and get us. You can fine us whatever you want, but we will not pay you a penny. You can jail our leaders, but others will take their place. You can close down the presently constituted BNP, but a new organisation will spring up, just within your perverted and dictatorial 'laws'. You can go on to close that down as well, and the same thing will happen again, and again, and again".

At first sight, this looks like our initially rejected Option A, but it is not. This is not ignoring the CRE and hoping that something will crop up to

save us. It is calling their bluff, fully conscious of the fact that it will probably lead to a major watershed in British politics the closing down of a lawful and constitutional political party and that preparations for that eventuality have to begin straight away.

Had such a radical solution been dropped on the BNP from a great height and without warning it would almost certainly have caused dismay and division. But as it has emerged through open debate, the online publication of this statement was greeted with widespread relief and even enthusiasm. That such a potentially contentious debate can be held without hysterical talk of 'splits' or mass walkouts shows the extent to which the BNP has grown-up. We have passed a major test of our determination to be serious contenders for future power.

The debate is now over. Because no constitutional changes are required, there is no need for any vote. There will be no "compromising our principles" because we will let our Masters destroy the form of one version of the BNP if they have the nerve to do so. If they do, we will create a new one, which will apply our principles in such a way as to be just legal under their rules.

Thus the 'hardliners' will be happy for now, while the 'civic nationalists' can be happy with the fact that, sooner or later, we will find ourselves on the road they wish to tread. The most important thing is to make sure that we all stay on the same road, and that we not only know some of the obstacles we will meet along the way, but already have a broad plan of how we are going to overcome them.

Phillips, Blair, Blunkett and all the rest can do whatever they have the power and the nerve to do to us. We will resist by letting them do it, but making them do it in full view of an increasingly public, and then adapting to their latest 'laws'. They will get from us not an ounce of violence, but they will get a million tons of insolent defiance. And, one day, though what they are doing in this country is, by the United Nations' definition of the term, genocide, they will get the last thing they deserve a fair trial.



Nick Griffin  
Looks At  
The Latest

# Attacks On The BNP

"We are moving out of a period when our primary job was to win elections, and into one where the crucial battle is to establish our right to take part in a fair and free electoral contest. We are no longer playing party politics, this is now a struggle for fundamental Civil Rights." Lee Barnes LLB, British National Party Legal Department

There is an Establishment conspiracy centred on New Labour, but also including media bigwigs and leading figures in other parties to stop and then destroy the British National Party. That this was true in the run-up to the recent elections is proven by the mainstream media stories of top-level anti-BNP meetings stretching back for more than a year, and running right into the election campaign itself. That it is just as true now as it was before June 10<sup>th</sup> is somewhat harder to prove since, by their very nature, conspiracies are kept from public view.

But while we're not going to see a Labour Cabinet Minister telling us all about his party's squalid undercover assault on democracy, it is possible to get an overall picture of the plan against us by looking at the pattern formed by the open attacks that form just part of the whole sorry scheme.

It is inconceivable that, following that meeting between Blair, Clinton and Labour's private poll-supremo Phillip Gould, the Labour Party hierarchy would have gone home and done nothing to stop the BNP "taking the entire white working class vote" away from them.

## BNP VICTORIES

The more so when one considers that the BNP's multiple election victories in the May 2003 council elections showed that we can also take huge numbers of middle class votes off the Conservatives.

Looking at what came next, and at what has already happened since the European Elections, it is clear that the well-prepared plan of action went something like this:

1. Labour would make some tough noises about asylum, in order to undercut the potential BNP vote;

2. The Tories would pressure their rank-and-file to contest more seats in our potential breakthrough areas, so as to split the anti-Labour vote;
3. Detailed research would be carried out to establish why people vote BNP, and what our opponents should do to put them off doing so. This was carried out by the apparently independent Joseph Roundtree Foundation, but the fact that the same body has also been heavily involved in pushing New Labour's attempt to break up England by establishing regional assemblies gives the lie to any claim to its independence in this matter;
4. The electoral system would be rigged to favour Labour and to create the potential for anti-BNP activists on the left to steal or spoil a proportion of our votes;
5. A safety valve would be artificially boosted to siphon off anti-Establishment protest votes. Clinton advisor Dick Morris, who is now on permanent secondment to UKIP, but who is also advising the Romanian campaign to join the EU, is clearly the key figure in this, with help from staunch New Labour publicist Max Clifford;
6. In key wards in the local elections, the three old parties would co-operate in deciding which one would be given an effective free-hand to beat the BNP candidate;
7. The Tories and LibDems would complain about the flaws in the postal ballot system in the North West and Yorkshire, and indicate prior to the poll their intention to get the results overthrown. In the event of BNP wins in those regions, this would have been done, but since he were kept out by the other fiddles, nothing more has been heard of the idea;
8. An official blind-eye would be turned to the myriad of instances of electoral fraud directed against BNP

voters both during the poll and before and during the actual count; A series of problems for the BNP would be prepared in advance so as to hit the party after the election was over. This would either deprive us of the ability to capitalise on a major electoral breakthrough if all the above tricks failed to stop us, or would be intended to "finish the BNP off" if we didn't win and hence might have low morale and organisational difficulties.

As we all know, the first eight of these steps were put into action with ruthless efficiency and considerable success in the run-up to June 10<sup>th</sup> and the European elections count on June 13<sup>th</sup>. The final part of the scheme is not only still running, but probably entails almost as many separate schemes as all the others put together.

The big BBC hatchet job, *The Secret Agent*, plus the related wave of similar criminalisation propaganda which will carry on over the summer, are only the most publicly obvious part of the plan.

## BACKDOOR BAN?

Elsewhere in this issue we examine the even more sinister attempt to shut us down via the back door by depriving us of banking facilities, and touch on a new threat the Government's failure to do anything about the increasingly dangerous Unholy Red-Green Alliance between Marxist groups such as the Communist Party, Socialist Workers Party and the Irish National Liberation Army on the one hand, and Islamic extremists on the other.

This new alliance is best typified by the Anti-War Coalition, which has a steering committee of 33 members. Of these, 18 come from hard-left groups, three others belong



IN THE FIRING LINE: BNP leader Nick Griffin could be target of terror squads

# INLA GANG TO TARGET BNP BIGOTS

to the left of the Labour Party, four are nominally ecologists but in fact are better described as 'Watermelons' (Green outside, Red inside), and eight are radical Islamists.

The chairman of the Coalition is Andrew Murray. A former employee of the Soviet Novosty propaganda agency and a leading light in the Communist Party. 'Co-Chair' is Mohammad Aslam Ijaz of the London Council of Mosques. Among the Coalition's supporters are not just the SWP but also the Muslim Association of Britain and the British branch of the Muslim Brotherhood.

It is no surprise that ageing would-be revolutionaries like Ken Livingstone are willing to ignore the threat posed by their new allies to their more established pets such as feminists and homosexuals. All these people ever wanted, after all, was a quick fix of power, money and a feeling of self-importance to make up for their own glaring personal inadequacies. Plus, of course, to find a force strong enough to destroy the Western civilisation for which they have such a deep-rooted, masochistic hatred.

As for the new allies that the likes of Livingstone hope will fit the bill, Abu Hamza himself has summed it up perfectly: "The Prophet teaches that we should ally ourselves even with the atheists if it helps us destroy

**SCREEN 1**  
Today's TV:  
**Dances 42 & 43**

the enemy."

The very first Islamist to advocate the left-Islamist alliance was Bin Laden's deputy, Ayman Al Zawahiri. In a message to al-Qaida sympathisers in Britain in August 2002, he urged them to seek allies among "any movement that opposes America, even atheists."

As has now been shown by the big vote for Respect in Muslim areas of East London and the Midlands, such recommendations are having an effect already.

## ALIENATION

Where this process will end it is too early to tell, though we can hazard a guess that it will not only complete the alienation of the far-left from its traditional theoretical support bases among the British working class, but will also lose it the sympathy of the radical ex-pat Irish, feminists, homosexuals and young Jews who have in fact provided its main recruiting grounds for two or three decades. The same can be said of the situation in other European countries such as France, Italy and Belgium, where the same shift is taking place.

This will force the far-left into a vicious circle of ever-greater reliance on radicalised Muslims for money, activists and support, which will lead to its ever-closer identification with

and involvement in terrorism.

In particular, we are at some stage all too likely to see the development of selective assassination of leading opponents of Islamification, with the traditional opponents of British nationalism providing the intelligence and the prompting as they find a new way to settle old scores with us. The very word "assassin" is of Middle Eastern, Islamic origin and, as anyone who has studied the subject will know, Islam is essentially unchanged and unchanging.

So while stunts such as the attempt to deprive us of banking facilities cause us a great deal of inconvenience, the biggest danger to us comes from the media's campaign of demonisation, since that in turn provides the climate in which assassinations on the Pym Forteyn model come to be 'understandable' rather than an instant Wake Up call to the slumbering public.

The potential targets for would-be murderers, of course, are the party's key leaders and media spokesmen. But the targets for the media's character assassinations, and for the petty bureaucratic harassment that such smears 'justify', include every single BNP member and supporter in the country.

To anyone who has recently wandered into our movement without realising this, I urge long and careful consideration of your position. The BNP is not just a political party, it is the only organisation with the understanding, the courage and the will to lead the native peoples of Britain in our version of the New Crusade that must be organised if Europe is not to sink under the Islamic yoke.

That Crusade is fundamentally a political struggle, but given the nature of the Enemy it will inevitably involve sacrifice, even unto Death, on the part of some of those involved over the next twenty years or so.

Since such a prospect, in pursuit of far less a prize, didn't deter my grandfather or the comrades with whom he spent four years in the trenches in Flanders, then I don't see why anybody worth their salt in this generation should be bothered either. But if you're not up to it, there's



Aug 2004

# The Secret Agent - a BBC Crime

**Bradford BNP demand arrest of BBC reporter for incitement to terrorism and incitement to cause explosions.**

The BBC's 'definitive' hatchet job on the British National Party didn't quite work out the way the *Searchlight/Blatant Bias Corporation* conspirators expected. True, the moronic and revolting comments of several of those involved were deeply repellent to the majority of viewers, but we know from the flood of telephone calls afterwards that a large number of them were well aware that such comments were made by unrepresentative idiots under intense provocation and large quantities of alcoholic encouragement.

As for Nick Griffin's comments about Islam, *The Sunday Telegraph* summed up the whole affair perfectly when it described them as "vote-winning" and complained that the programme "in disseminating the BNP's shrewd anti-Muslim focus, will simply recruit more of us."

With a number of stunningly effective TV appearances by Mr Griffin and a raft of skilfully handled radio interviews with our Dr. Phil Edwards, the overall impact of the controversy ended up in the party's favour.

The most important thing to come over in a number of these interviews was the fact that Bradford BNP Chairman Paul Cromie had lodged a formal complaint with the police against the BBC's Jason Gwynne. Having found one of the angriest young white men in Bradford, the BBC agent provocateur then proceeded to suggest to him that he could find out how to make a bomb on the Internet, then plant it in a litter bin outside the mosque in Carlisle Street, Bradford, timed to go off just as worshippers were leaving after Friday prayers.

Fortunately for all concerned, the young man in question told Gwynne that he wanted nothing to do with such lunacy. But supposing he hadn't reacted so sensibly? Suppose he had made and planted such a device? Dozens of innocent people could have been killed in the blast, and large parts of Northern England would probably have gone up in flames amid widespread tit-for-tat communal violence. But the BBC are either so out of touch or desperate to smear the BNP that they wouldn't let the prospect get in the way of a good story.

Perhaps it was concerns of that kind that led a BNP



'mole' within the BBC to tip us off about the plan to infiltrate several agents provocateur into the party in the months before the election. Warnings about this plot were carried in several Organisers' Bulletins and in our monthly members' newsletter *British Nationalist*. We hope that next time such a warning is given, everybody will take it seriously.

Unfortunately, the BBC were put straight in touch with Andrew Sykes, a long-term mole working for the violent and criminal *Searchlight* organisation while (dis)organising our Bradford branch. The hidden camera was therefore able to record the tall tales and silly comments of several individuals handpicked by Sykes to be unrepresentative of the real BNP. Bluntly, if you spent six months undercover in any pub or Labour or Conservative Club in the land you could find comments every bit as stupid, but having someone 'at the top' of the local organisation, guiding the cameraman and reassuring people he had made suspicious, certainly made things easy for him.

In the months ahead, a whole range of measures is going to be taken to reduce the possibility of such things happening again. Most important will be steps to ensure that anyone using the language of hate and violence is shown extracts from the programme, is given one chance to grow up, and is expelled if they fail

## A Ban By The Backdoor?

**Bank decision threatens BNP's legal status**

Within two days of *The Secret Agent* programme, Barclays Bank announced that they were shutting all the BNP's bank accounts held with them. While Barclays were very careful to avoid putting any details down in print, a "source close to the bank" was quoted by Associated Press as saying that "the move had been under consideration for several months, but the

programme enabled them to act."

In other words, comments about violence made by two idiots and a suspected *Searchlight* mole who 'admitted' to squirting dog muck into an Asian business, provided Barclays with the excuse to victimise the BNP that they had been waiting for.

Other media reports mentioned the fact that the



bank had been threatened with a campaign by "a national newspaper". This is believed to be *The Sunday People*, which several months earlier ran a story about the party banking with Barclays. *The Sunday People* is owned by Mirror Group Newspapers, an organisation of professional liars which has had close links with the Labour Party, both under its former owner the pensions-thief Robert Maxwell, and under its current boss Victor Blank.

Pressure has also been exerted by the Muslim Association of Britain, which was quoted in IslamOnline as attributing Barclays' decision to its efforts.

"The bank has a significant number of clients who are Muslims or from other ethnic groups in Britain. Its officials are aware of the concerns of their clients," said MAB spokesman Shabana Khan.

"Given the obvious clout of Muslims after their votes were proved decisive in municipal and European Parliament elections, the community members are turning lobby-minded with growing influence."

MAB President Ahmed Al-Sheikh also welcomed the totalitarian move by Barclays: "This is a truly commendable act which must be emulated by other civic institutions. It is only by such decisive action would this cancerous phenomenon be eradicated from our society," said the Islamic bigot, tripping over the big words of a language of which his grasp is clearly as shaky as his understanding of fundamental British principles of freedom and democracy.

Within days of the Barclays story breaking, another High Street bank with which the party has a number of accounts also informed us that our accounts were "under review". By this time the party's Legal Department had prepared draft arguments on no fewer than ten possible points of law to use in our defence, and had passed them to our main solicitors with instructions to obtain Counsel's opinion.

The big danger here is not just the inconvenience of losing our accounts and having to make alternative arrangements. The trouble is that all political parties now have to operate under an agreement drawn up with the Electoral Commission, which has to be sure that all

financial arrangements are transparent and above board. In particular, the Commission is supposed to ensure that no foreign donations above the value of £200 are received.

Being forced to switch to banking overseas may well make the Commission's job impossible, which would then lead to them deregistering the party. This would not lead to us being banned, but it would bar us from contesting elections a ban by the back door.

Without a doubt, this wave of attacks is the most serious assault ever made on any political party in Britain since World War Two, with the possible exception of *Sinn Fein*, whose spokesmen were banned from television at the height of an IRA bombing campaign, despite their 'right to freedom' being upheld by the likes of Ken Livingstone and other murderers' friends still in prominent positions in the Labour Party and the BBC.

As more pressure is piled on the British National Party, we can guarantee that those who once spoke out on behalf of the IRA will be prominent among those demanding ever more draconian measures against us. There again, we wouldn't want their sympathy if they disinfected it. We'd rather stand alone. And stand we will, whatever is thrown at us.

## What You Can Do

All BNP members and supporters with accounts or mortgages with Barclays are asked to make alternative arrangements and to tell their local bank manager why they are leaving. A number of locally organised demonstrations against Barclays have already gone ahead. Since our accounts will actually be closed on 18<sup>th</sup> August, we anticipate a major day of action with demonstrations at various Barclays' branches on that day, so please keep the date free and contact your local organiser.

Meantime, we assure all our readers that, for the time being at least, all cheques made out to either the BNP or to British Heritage will reach party funds. Our merchandising arm, Excalibur, does not however, have a second account to replace that closed by Barclays, so cheques for its wares should be made payable to 'Freedom Promotions' until further notice.

Standing orders to the party will automatically be cancelled by the bank and we will be in touch with members and supporters who donate in this way as soon as we have an alternative system in place. Overseas supporters of freedom are reminded that the Pro-Democracy League is well worth supporting and that there is no limit to the amount that you can give to it. Please check the PDL website out at: [www.prodemocracyleague.com](http://www.prodemocracyleague.com)

**Needless to say, any attempt at legal redress that our lawyers get to work on will inevitably cost thousands of pounds. We therefore ask all those supporters who can afford to help to rush donations, payable to either 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage', to PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY.**



# L M Stewart, a former senior police officer, reflects on: The Consequences Of The June 2004 Elections

The failure of the British National Party to achieve a major breakthrough in the June 2004 elections was undoubtedly a disappointment:

- There is a real and growing awareness of the disastrous consequences of six decades of Labour-Conservative-Liberal Democrat rule, and extensive disenchantment with the insincerity, corruption, incompetence and sameness of the major parties, so that the well-known apathy of the British public should surely now have been overcome; and
- The effort put in by so many Party workers was little short of magnificent, and alone deserving of a far greater reward.

Indeed, a first response might be that if only limited progress could be made under such circumstances, what hope is there for the future?

This, of course, is the reaction hoped for by those who recognise the BNP as the only threat to their programme of destroying not just the traditional standards and values of the British nation but, once and for all, its very physical identity. In the entire history of our great country this is something never before realistically contemplated by even our most powerful and vindictive enemies.

## WHAT HAPPENED?

The June elections saw an unprecedented drive by all the forces directly responsible for the appalling state of this land to prevent by any means available a major increase in representation for the British National Party.

The three main parties actually formed a pact and were joined by Marxist trade union officials, violent hard-left activists, liberal churchmen, and immigrant organisations, with a common cause

of silencing the one party actually speaking for native Britons. Such an unsavoury alliance speaks for itself, and also reveals their fearful assessment of the BNP's very real prospects of success.

The methods used are now known, and have been commented on with shock even by members of the Press, whose own anti-BNP prejudice is clear.

The replacement by the Labour Government of the traditional polling stations by a postal ballot in four key areas is widely recognised as being intended to make it easier for their unenthusiastic supporters to vote.

There is little faith in the confidentiality of the new system, which is highly vulnerable to manipulation, and as expected there were many reported incidents of attempted bribery, threats made to postmen delivering blank ballot papers, theft and destruction of completed ballot papers, and refusal to look for conveniently 'missing' boxes of received votes; as well as interference with the distribution of election material, physical assaults on BNP officials and biased, politically motivated police activity/inactivity. Welcome to Labour's new, Third World Britain.

## BOOSTING UKIP

And, of course, there was the boosting of the United Kingdom Independence Party. For decades, a handful of genuinely patriotic if enormously naive MPs in the Conservative Party have been cynically tolerated by an increasingly left-leaning leadership for the extra votes they garner from a portion of the electorate as desperately and foolishly hopeful as the MPs themselves.

The advent of Michael Howard, ludicrously termed 'right-wing' in the parlance of the new Tory Party has

finally scotched that deception, and a new safety-valve was needed.

Step forward the United Kingdom Independence Party, the perfect patsy. A one-issue movement dependent upon the increasing exasperation of the public with the corrupt, socialist, bureaucratic EU, 'U-KIP' has been temporarily rocketed from obscurity.

A former TV personality effectively sacked for a so-called 'racist' statement, has given it a broader platform apparently at variance with the 'political correctness' of the major parties.

In reality, the UKIP is no more a champion of the native British than its openly liberal opponents, but its denial of 'racism' will be most conveniently viewed by the electorate in the same light as the disavowal ("I'm not a racist, but ....") commonly felt necessary by ordinary people on a daily basis before daring to make any 'politically incorrect' statement. Adding to this quotes from some of UKIP's more radical supporters enables the media to portray it as an 'ultra-conservative' political choice.

The more perceptive of that Party's own supporters are aware





that the enormous amount of largely positive publicity they receive had an ulterior motive, i.e. to provide the voter with an alternative to the BNP.

### WORKING CLASS SUPPORT

Although it would be foolish to view the political scene entirely through the eyes of one's opponents, their observations can provide insight. UKIP has been described as attracting support substantially from the 'Middle and Upper Class' and the BNP from the 'Working Class.' Certainly in the case of the BNP there is some truth in this, for the latter social grouping suffer more directly from the effects of mass immigration, crime, poor transport, health, and education services, and declining agriculture & industry; while the former classes are more often in a position to regard these results of liberal permissiveness, incompetence, and outright anti-British attitudes with disapproval, but without the impetus to actually 'do something' that comes from personal suffering.

The Working Class has never consciously subscribed to the liberal, anti-British policies of the Labour 'intelligentsia'; is very much in the majority; and, has the power to change Britain overnight, should it decide 'en masse' to use its vote. The BNP is thus by far the greater

threat.

But the second reason is an ideological one, the question of race.

The most distinctive quality of liberals is their abhorrence of all things traditionally British. As such, it is entirely logical for them to have as their prime objective the elimination of 'racism', however defined. Of all the many '-isms' adopted by them in an attempt to portray normal feelings as aberrant, rather than their own warped view of life, it is 'racism' which is the number one enemy.

They have grasped the obvious: that the basis for the physical identity and distinctive culture of a nation is a racial one, which cannot be easily destroyed until this reality is seen as somehow shameful, and the will to preserve it eliminated.

The BNP is the ONLY Party prepared to say what most know: that our people and our culture are the product of a unique blend of homogeneous European tribes that grew together over countless generations. It is baseless to suggest that being 'British' (or French, or German, or Dutch) can ignore such a reality, and be defined to include millions of racial aliens simply because they have been allowed to superficially adopt our language and culture, and are issued with 'British nationality' certificates.

### SLIMY & CYNICAL

It is to be expected that liberal/Marxist fanatics, slimy and cynical professional politicians and self-seeking bureaucrats and businessmen will automatically trot out the compulsory liberal dogma, for their own ignoble reasons.

What is tragic is that so many who seem to feel a genuine concern at the decline of this country and an attachment to one or more of the Home Nations, lack the strength of character and perception to break out of the straightjacket superimposed on their thinking by liberal decree. Pride in 'nationality' is tolerated by liberals as long as defined only in terms of peripheral aspects such as birthplace and issued documents, but condemned as 'racism' if based on real and meaningful criteria such as ancestry.

In Scotland, Wales, and Ireland, 'nationalism' has been hijacked by the same ilk, who use anti-English rhetoric to give a false impression of defending their people and culture. In fact, their policy of 'political correctness', and open-door attitude towards immigrants reflects the same contempt for traditional values and racial identity as the major parties; and is every bit as fatal to the survival of the Scottish, Welsh and Irish nations as it is proving to be in England. Indeed, due to those countries' smaller populations, their indigenous peoples will be overwhelmed long before the English succumb.

In England itself, nationalist feelings are carefully directed so as to be exhausted through activities such as sport, pageantry, and even selected wars. This in no way hinders the ultimate objective of the liberal establishment: to convert these islands with their homogeneous population, distinctive culture and clear identity, into a multi-racial, multi-cultural melting-pot indistinguishable from a South American Republic, or indeed from the other countries of the European Union who are being similarly undermined.

The consequences of the June elections should be clear:

A quiet satisfaction in the BNP that, in spite of everything, the enemies of Britain could do, progress has still been made, and the basis from which the Party will approach the coming General Election will as a result be stronger.

Forewarned is forearmed, so that our liberal-Marxist opponents are weakened by having revealed the full extent of their utter dishonesty &  thlessness, and such tactics can now be anticipated and countered.

While the creation of the UKIP with Con/Lab/Lib-Dem connivance temporarily served its purpose of robbing the BNP of votes, it has also helped to break the rigid three-party system that has been one of the biggest obstacles to any new party. When the UKIP bubble bursts, the BNP will be the only logical alternative.

The awaited breakthrough is likely to come sooner than expected, ushered in by the events of last





Aug 2004

# Then and Now: Part 3

*Tim Heydon concludes his article with the thought that: 'Left Liberalism is the Suicide Note of the West'*

*'..the new dark ages are already upon us. This time however, the barbarians are not waiting beyond the frontiers; they have already been governing us for quite some time...'*

Conclusion to 'After Virtue', by Alasdair MacIntyre, Professor of Moral Philosophy University of Boston.

Why has the liberal left appeared with such devastating potency in Britain in recent decades? There is of course the inevitably ongoing nature of the drive for individual liberty set in motion by the 19<sup>th</sup> Century Liberals. But the crumbling of the restraints of tradition and religion which made possible the extremes of individualism which we now see, and the focus on extreme equality with which this individualism is linked, has much of its origin in a leftist movement which has received considerable impetus in Britain from the defeat of communism in the former Soviet bloc.

This had two main consequences for politics in the west in general. First, it removed a Marxist enemy of the West that was clearly not of the West; it was the exterior enemy. This made many in the West relax their guard as far as internal Marxist dangers were concerned. Second, leftists now diverted their ideological energies from supporting revolutionary communism and the Soviet Union to other means to ensure the advent of the hoped-for Utopia of equality.

## OVERTHROWING SOCIETY

The ground for these means was already strongly established in the West. The so-called 'Frankfurt School,' an institute for Marxism, was set up in pre-war Germany with the specific intention of finding a way to bring about Marxist victory in the West in the light of the clear failure of the western working classes to adopt communist ideas of revolutionary overthrow of society.

The Frankfurt School transferred to America on the onset of war, where it was attached to the University of Chicago as an 'Institute for Social Research.' This institute formulated a strategy to undermine Christianity and the Culture of the West, in the anticipation that this would lead to the triumph of the radical left. Adherents of the Frankfurt School, third raters like Horkheim, Adorno, Fromm, Reich and

Marcuse, together with Gramsci, an Italian Marxist who was one of the most influential leftist thinkers of the twentieth century, became the heroes of 1960's radicalism.

## PRIVILEGED, PAMPERED STUDENTS

The students who flocked to the New British Universities at that time came from the most privileged, affluent and pampered generation in our history. They personally had not had to survive a world war or struggle through the hardships of economic depression as their parents had. In the manner of adolescents, and especially of those who had been spared the harsh realities of life that had tutored their parents, they fancied themselves better educated and morally superior to the wiser older generation.

They seized on the ideas of these Marxists as a stick to beat the older generation with. They thought that through reading them, and the higher nonsense of nihilist leftist philosophers like Foucault, they had the key to a deeper truth hidden from 'ignorant' older people. It is those susceptible youngsters, their attitudes preserved in an aspic of delayed emotional adolescence, who now form the political and cultural elites of our country and who are destroying it.

The strategy for the overthrow of the West and its reconstruction on Marxist lines formulated by the Frankfurt School was to bring it about not through debate or armed struggle, but by means of the 'Capture of the Culture' by people who shared their views.

Gramsci referred to this as the 'Long March Through the Institutions'. Once their sympathisers were in place in the instruments of opinion formation - the universities, the government bodies, the art institutes, entertainment industries and the schools - the people of the West could be worked on by the stealth means of 'cultural conditioning.' The



resentments of the new 'proletariat' identified by the School: the Blacks and other ethnic minorities, the feminists, the homosexual lobby, and any other group with an axe to grind, were to be played on, encouraged and harnessed.

The oppressors in this recasting of the Marxist class war were white males. In the mad thinking of this inhuman ideology - which attempts to obliterate the realities of life and to treat people not as creatures of flesh and blood with human needs and tendencies but as cardboard puppets of the socio-economic environment - males 'oppress' because, not having to bear children, they accrue an 'unfair' amount of power. Whites 'oppress' simply because they are white and therefore members of the race which originated modernity, and since they are therefore rich and successful in comparison with others, must be condemned for it, and our race destroyed. Thus as the 'oppressors', only whites can be 'racist.'

As the repository of the twin evils of whiteness and maleness, white males are the special enemy, the target of constant denigration and hate. Hence sneering references to 'dead white males' and everything created by them, including the culture of the West.

Anyone who instructed or brought order in society, defenders of civilisation and transmitters of its traditions such as parents - especially fathers - teachers or the police, were also guilty of 'oppression.' Their actions were 'fascist' because their positions gave them power and assumed greater knowledge or grasp of morality than those they sought to serve: sons and daughters, pupils, wrong doers.

#### 'CRITICAL THEORY'

The tools to be used in cultural conditioning included 'Critical Theory', which is a destructive, constant criticism of all the main pillars of Western Society: the nation, the family, tradition, Christianity. Repetitive negativity alone would engender a sense of cultural pessimism and rejection in the population, counter to its deeper feelings.

One can see Critical Theory at work just by turning on the BBC and watching a documentary that denigrates some British hero or aspect of our history or society. Christmas and Easter, the great popular festivals of the Christian Church, are favourite times for

programmes seeking to belittle Christianity. As for the Marxists' elevation of their new 'proletariat,' this can be seen endlessly on film and television: strong women physically defeat or dominate weak, foolish or evil men, middle class blacks confront the violence and criminality of lower class whites; ethnic minorities and homosexuals are shown as the repositories of decency and moral probity as compared to the stupidity, ignorance and unpleasantries of whites; corrupt clergymen are bested by dropouts, and so on and so on. It is all lies - pure mind bending propaganda.

But the most important arena for the 'Long March' has been the schools. For the Frankfurt School, what children learned was not as important as that they had the right 'attitude'. Children could and should be conditioned to reject their parents' decencies and feelings of self worth as racist, bigoted, outdated and evil. And it is witness to the success of the strategy of the 'Long March,' undertaken in an unspoken conspiracy of the radical like-minded,

that while they actually know less and less and behave more and more badly, this is what many of our young people believe about their elders, at least until they mature sufficiently to have the confidence to reject this indoctrination.

#### FOUNDED ON LIES

The liberal left is founded on lies. Its belief in individualism is contradicted by its own adherents, in their need for their individualism to be authenticated by others and by the group nature of its neo-Marxist analysis. The equality they seek is an unattainable fantasy that can be approximated only by means of totalitarian oppression. The political correctness and 'human rights' they want to impose as a secular substitute for the fast depleting stock of Christian morality built up over centuries which they assiduously attack, has no defensible foundation in philosophy or even in the will of the people. Without Stalinist enforcement, it will never have any effective impact on the moral chaos and social disintegration encouraged by left liberalism, as no purported system of morality can without a spiritual underpinning.

If the people of the West do not summon up the will to throw off this insidious evil, this pernicious cancer spells the end for us - the 'Suicide of the West'. We lie like the cowering denizens of the crumbling Roman Empire at the mercy of barbarians bringing with them the new Dark Ages. They will take from us and trample underfoot everything we have known and have loved and cared for. Unless you help us stop them.



**John Maddox questions the new liberal claim that Islam was intellectually superior to early Christian Europe**

## Aristotle and Islam

Now that Islam has become the 'religion of choice' for the liberal intellectual elites of Europe a movement is underway to doctor the historical record to turn the history of Christian Europe into a thing of hateful barbarism and that of Islam into the story of a gentle religion of peace-loving savants.


This attempt to rewrite history hinges on two highly dubious reinterpretations of historical fact; the first of which involves turning the Crusades from an effort to regain a land stolen from its inhabitants by the violent invasion of Muslim armies into a bloody attempt to steal the homeland of Muslims.

The second is the effort to present Europe as backward and benighted before being rescued by an inflood of Islamic knowledge. (Ralph Ellis in his otherwise useful article, 'The Threat from Islam' (*Identity*, January 2004), came close to repeating the second of these two canards: "There was a time in the pre-Mediaeval era when Islam presided over a more liberal culture, one that embraced the arts and sciences; a culture that carried the spark of civilisation through the European Dark Ages.")

The claim that Europe languished in darkness and barbarism before the glowing civilisation of Islam graciously passed on a few baubles of learning to help us out of the mire is unfounded. The truth is rather more complex. Let me illustrate this by looking at the field of philosophy.

### EUROPEAN THOUGHT

The philosophy of Aristotle his logic, metaphysics, politics and scientific method was one of the bases leading to the rapid expansion of European thought and civilisation in the later Middle Ages. But, according to our liberal revisionists, Aristotle's philosophy was lost or even



destroyed in the West but preserved and treasured by Islam before being generously transmitted to the woad-covered barbarians of the northern mists.

The truth is that the West already possessed parts of Aristotle's thought, which were passed down after the collapse of the Western Roman Empire (occasioned amongst other reasons by mass immigration), thanks to writers such as Boethius. "It says much for the native genius of north-western Europe that this...sufficed to nourish a series of great thinkers and to enable them to produce works of solid and permanent value." (Knowles, *The Evolution of Medieval Thought*).

In the Islamic world also, the works of Aristotle came to be of great interest to certain Arabic writers, but also, later on, to several great Jewish scholars. From 1034 onwards the reconquest of Spain by the Spanish moved slowly forwards and this, from about 1100, drew a stream of

Western scholars to the liberated areas to study the manuscripts in Greek, Arabic and Hebrew liberated from the Arabs. In this way, important manuscripts by both Plato and Aristotle were recovered and the development of the European synthesis of philosophy, science and technology which was the basis of the flowering of European civilisation began to power ahead. All told, it took over a hundred years to introduce the entire canon of Aristotle into Western thought but the consequences were epoch-making.

After about 1150, and over the next hundred years, Europe was intellectually transformed by a flood of new knowledge, chief amongst which was the full system of Aristotle, which helped turn Europe into the 'powerhouse' civilisation *par excellence*. This new knowledge, both genuinely 'new' and rediscovered from the old classical past, was occasioned by the spread of translators and scholars from the

countries of Northern Europe into Southern Italy, the East and Spain which contained often neglected intellectual treasures from the past.

There were four main centres where Western scholars made discoveries. The first was Syria after the First Crusade. Western scholars settled there and found and translated Arabic books based on Aristotle. The second was Constantinople, centre of Greek thought, where entire colonies of Western scholars settled to translate the treasures of the Greek past. The third was Sicily, then ruled by the Normans, where a wide range of classical texts were translated. The fourth, and probably the most important, was Spain, much of which was then under the control of the Arabs.

However, let us pause to remember that the Arabs violently invaded Spain in the eighth century AD, stealing it from its indigenous inhabitants and imposing an unwanted political and religious system which included systematic discrimination against Christians and other 'infidel' religions and which was only finally thrown off when Ferdinand and Isabella completed the liberation of Spain in 1492.

So how did the manuscripts of the works of Aristotle, together with Arabic and Hebrew commentaries on them, end up in Arab-suppressed Spain? Well, in the fourth century AD early Christian communities in Mesopotamia were studying Aristotle's manuscripts. Later, after 489, they moved to Persia. When Persia was violently invaded and conquered by the brutal armies of the new Islamic theocracy in Arabia, Muslims came into possession of manuscripts of Aristotle, Euclid, Archimedes, Hippocrates, etc.

Between 750-900 these manuscripts were translated into Arabic by Syrian Christians now living under the heavy rule of Islam. These translations made their way into Egypt and subsequently to the newly-conquered Islamic territories in Spain. By now certain Arabic thinkers had produced their own philosophical commentaries based on Aristotle.

Which were the most significant of these Arab thinkers? Firstly

Alkindi who lived in Persia and died in 873. He produced a theory of cognition based on Aristotle. Next Alfarabi who died in 950 in Baghdad. He was a Sufi - a mystic (Islam has always treated Sufism with a great amount of suspicion and often downright oppression).

He was followed by the most celebrated of the Arab thinkers, Avicenna, who died in 1037 in Persia. Avicenna was a genuinely great mind who produced an intellectual system in many ways as rich as that of Augustine or St Thomas Aquinas. As a devout Mohammedan he superimposed the demands of Islam upon his purely rational system, but not enough to satisfy conservative theologians in Islam who attacked his teaching and caused it to pass into neglect. However his works made their way to Muslim Spain where they were joined by those of another Arab thinker, Averroes, who was born in Cordoba in 1126.

### EXILE

As so often happens with independent Arab thinkers, Averroes was finally attacked by his fellow Muslims for unorthodox views and died in exile in 1198. He produced a justly famous Commentary on Aristotle, for whom his admiration was unbounded, so much so that when the pure rational conclusions to be drawn from Aristotle clashed with the bare and literal claims of Mohammed and the Koran, he deemed it necessary to say so and recognise these differences. His Muslim critics disagreed!

So partly through the works of these Arabic commentators which had been gathered together in the Muslim conquered territory of Spain, but also separately via Syria, Constantinople and Sicily, the great intellectual system of Aristotle was assembled in Europe but this was done by a process of proactive intellectual enquiry launched by European scholars and not, as the politically correct would have it, by Muslim Arab supermen bestowing their superior intellectual treasures on reluctant and ignorant straw-chewing Europeans!

Furthermore, there are three other components of the jigsaw to be added. Firstly, Muslim Spain was also home to a Jewish community who lived as second class citizens just as the indigenous population was forced to do. And this community produced two great thinkers in the eleventh and twelfth centuries who also transmitted the ideas of Aristotle to the Europeans. The first was Avicenna (1021-1070) whose work mixed metaphysics, cosmology and cosmogony taken from Aristotle, neoplatonic and Jewish sources.

The second and greater was Maimonides, born in Cordova in 1135 but exiled to Cairo where he died in 1204. He taught that Aristotle was the supreme master of human science just as the Scriptures were of divine truth.

Finally, Dominic Gundisalvi, a convert Jew who became Archdeacon of Toledo, produced a series of treatises which summarised Aristotle's thought in the sciences of metaphysics, physics, politics, economics and ethics - the very areas of thought which came to give Europe its unrivalled strength.

In Muslim-dominated lands the brief and partial flowering of intellectual independence was rapidly snuffed out and things returned to normal i.e. hidebound and reactionary. If anyone still thinks that Islamic societies are naturally repositories of disinterested learning and enquiry, let them consider the tale of the ancient library at Alexandria.

This was one of the wonders of the classical world, containing a copy of most of the manuscripts ever produced in ancient times. When the Arab Muslim armies smashed their way into Egypt in the seventh century AD, conquering and terrorising the indigenous Christian population, they sent back to Mecca for instructions as to what to do with the library. Back came the word from Omar, Mohammed's successor: "All that mankind needs to know is in the Koran." So the 'knowledge-loving' Muslims burnt the library to the ground, destroying every single book.

Islam, the transmitter of



# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Global Capitalism And The Loss Of British Manufacturing Jobs

It was revealed last month, that over the last seven years under New Labour, Britain has lost 750,000 manufacturing jobs. This did not warrant front-page news, nor was it mentioned on any TV or radio news programmes. The City correspondents of most newspapers casually dismissed the loss of jobs and the Tory grandee and former cabinet minister Lord Howell, in a letter to the *Daily Telegraph*, said that their City correspondent was "quite right to deride the TUC's obsession with manufacturing jobs".

To be fair, he went on to stress the importance of the computer technology content of most modern manufactured products. But this was not overlooked by the TUC, who have stressed that they are not asking for Government protection of lame duck industries that cannot compete with the low-cost economies of India or China but those with a high-quality, high-skill manufacturing content.

This is another example of the effects of global capitalism, which is quite distinct from the private enterprise of manufacturers whose main concern was to serve the interests of their particular geographic community, whether it was Britain, the United States or any of the other Western manufacturing nations. In those days a case could be made for 'free trade', even where protection of native industries by tariffs on imports was shown to be advantageous.

However, 'free trade' today in the hands of the global capitalists will lead to the eventual extinction of all but the very highest technology based manufacturing industries in the western nations, together with all the commercial sectors that support them. The latter is already happening with the export of call centres, insurance and banking to India and the Far East.

BNP trade unionists need to enlighten their fellow members of these facts and also point out that whilst Union leaders are busy supporting 'anti-racist' measures, the mass migration of cheap Afro-Asian labour to meet the demands of the growing burger-bar 'industries' now replacing industrial manufacturing is being promoted by global capitalism. We have no quarrel with the Trade Unions, if only the members would wake up and sack their mainly Marxist-inspired leaders.

The Unions also need to be speaking out more about the loss of many of their members pension funds a loss that again can be laid at the door of global capitalism. For example, former employees of Scottish engineering firm Blyth & Blyth are set to have their pensions slashed by about 40 per cent from September. Basically because of loss of business to overseas imports, Blyth and Blyth has wound up its £13m pension scheme, leaving it with a £7m deficit.

Similarly, the 40,000 members of the £1.2bn pension scheme of TNN (formerly Turner & Newell) face losing some or all of their pensions. TNN's US

parent company, Federal Mogul, announced last month that it had gone into administration with the pension scheme in deficit.

## Tolerance For Marxists Abuse for Nationalists

Reading that the next President of the European Commission, Jose Manuel Barroso, was a former Maoist politician in Portugal but now a Thatcherite reformer, led me to thinking how odd it is that The Powers That Be are willing to accept former Marxists of all shades into the liberal establishment fold after just a year or two's penance, but will forever hound any Nationalist who indulged in extremist Right Wing activities in his youth.

In Blair's Government alone we have Ministers, past and present, such as Jack Straw, David Blunkett, Peter Mandelson and John Reid, who were associated with the Communist Party or other Marxist movements in the past. Charlie Whelan, Gordon Brown's former press aide, was a card-carrying member of the Communist Party until 1990.

In Harold Wilson's day we had Dennis Healey as a Chancellor of the Exchequer and now one of the respected elderly gurus of liberal thought. No one ever mentions that until the age of 30 he was a Communist. I could add many more. Just in case you have forgotten, Communism was the system that particularly, but not exclusively, under Stalin was responsible for the murder of at least 50 million people, and under Mao in China, some 30 million. Hitler was not even in their league!

In the world of the luvvies we see the theatre critics fawning over Corin Redgrave with gushing reviews about his latest role as King Lear in the Stratford performance. We do not doubt that he is a good actor, but that does not make him (or his elder sister) a hero. He is an important member of the Trotskyite Workers Revolutionary Party and has been for over twenty years. Through the actor's union, Equity, he has helped to create Trotskyite cells throughout the acting profession, a number of whose spokesmen and women have been prominent in abusing the BNP.

The Trotskyite version of Marxism is not some benign cult. To this day it justifies the numerous atrocities that Trotsky carried out during the Russian civil wars from 1919 to 1922.

Commenting on Corin Redgrave's nomination for sainthood by the liberal media, Kevin Myers, writing in the *Sunday Telegraph*, 11.07.04, summed up the situation exactly when he wrote: "Had he put his name down with the British National Party for a single day, he would have been on Blackfriars Bridge with a penny whistle ever since."

## Ken's Friend, the Muslim Extremist

The arrival last month of Dr Yusuf al-Qaradawi, the extremist Egyptian Muslim cleric came at a very



appropriate time. It was right in the middle of the debate that was going on over Blunkett's determination to make it illegal to "incite religious hatred". The Islamist fanatics in our midst, who, of course, are only a small minority amongst the Muslim population, were not the only people he had in mind.

Although it was never spelt out, his main target was the BNP because of its relentless campaign of calling for the deportation of the extremist clerics and for objecting to the Muslim colonisation of our former Christian land. If you read between the lines this was borne out in the statement from the CRE's witchfinder general, Trevor Phillips: "Current laws prove inadequate protection to some religions from bigotry and hatred".

Dr al-Qaradawi's visit was permitted by the Home Secretary, and warmly welcomed by Red Ken Livingstone (now a Labour Party man again) as "our honoured guest". During his week's stay the worthy cleric watched his words, which his apologists in this country and the Arab world said were typical of his "open-minded and fair opinions."

But his language was not that normally associated with his website. Here, he has stated that wife beating is allowed under Islam and that there is no crime in rape when a woman has dressed immodestly.

Although we condemn Israel's theft of Palestinian land and its erection of its 'defence' wall (which is also stealing more land) we condemn the murder of Jewish children and old ladies by suicide bombers. Yet Red Ken's 'honoured guest' has described the suicide attacks that have killed these women and children as a "martyrdom operation that is an indication of the justice of Allah Almighty".

There are some readers who may well agree with Dr al-Qaradawi's view that homosexuality is "a major sin". My view is if that is what they want to practice it is their own affair and should not be presented as an alternative life style. It is abnormal. But none of us - I hope - who oppose this campaign of promoting homosexuality would call for the "open-minded" cleric's suggestion that they should all be killed. Just imagine what action would be taken if some true, aboriginal Briton said that!

### Teacher Arrested

At New York's Kennedy airport last month an individual later discovered to be a school teacher was arrested trying to board a flight while in possession of a ruler, a protractor, a set square, a slide rule, and a calculator.

At a morning press conference, Attorney General John Ashcroft said he believes the man is a member of the notorious Al-gebra movement. He is being charged by the FBI with carrying weapons of math instruction.

"Al-gebra is a fearsome cult," Ashcroft said. "They desire average solutions by means and extremes, and sometimes go off on tangents in a search of absolute value. They use secret code names like 'x' and 'y' and refer to themselves as 'unknowns', but we have determined they belong to a common denominator of the axis of medieval with coordinates in every country. As the Greek philanderer Isosceles used to say, 'There

are three sides to every triangle'." When asked to comment on the arrest, President Bush said, "If God had wanted us to have better weapons of math instruction, He would have given us more fingers and toes."

### BNP Was Right Over Iraq

On March 20<sup>th</sup> 2003 the BNP put out a statement on its website headed "Against the War, For Our Troops". It said that the war against Iraq was the outcome of the messianic vanity of Tony Blair, and the determination of George Bush to make the Middle East safe for US oil companies and for the Israeli government. It added: "This is an unjust and unwise war. . . We in the West have no more right to try to impose our values on the world's one billion Muslims than Islamic fundamentalists have to impose their values on us." It also doubted the existence of weapons of mass destruction, a theme that was taken up later in several issues of *Identity*.

After the death of nearly 60 British servicemen, over 1000 Americans, and countless Iraqi men, women and children, our stand has been shown to have been right, particularly now that American and British 'intelligence' not only got it wrong over Saddam's weapons capabilities but invented those that did not exist!

In the States, the CIA has taken the wrap for the lack of correct intelligence, particularly in the overblown reports on the existence of WMDs, with CIA head, George Tenet, falling on his sword. However, it now appears that he could have been a fall guy (as the Americans say), particularly as the CIA always said there was no direct evidence that Saddam had direct links with al-Qaeda.

It now appears that Douglas Feith, a senior Pentagon policy maker, who reported directly to fellow neo-con hawk, Paul Wolfowitz, set up an unofficial Iraqi intelligence cell to circumvent the CIA and brief the White House on the so-called al-Qaeda links and the WMDs.

Here in Britain the Lord Butler report shows that our intelligence reports of Iraq were only marginally better than the Americans', and that Jonathan Powell, the Prime Minister's chief of staff "redrafted" several of them to make a better case against Iraq. The report indicates that the failures on the part of MI6 were down to John Scarlett as chairman of the Joint Intelligence Committee, later appointed as head of MI6. And who appointed Scarlett? The same person who appointed Jonathan Powell: Tony Blair.

As for Blair being squeaky clean, it was later reported in the *Sunday Telegraph*, 18.07.04, that "the inquiry came under massive pressure from Number 10 to tone down elements of the report. In an early draft, it more explicitly condemned the case for going to war made in the Commons by Mr Blair. His comments were directly contrasted with the actual intelligence he was being shown. The final version shone nothing like as powerful a spotlight on the Prime Minister. A minister told us ' This was a major Number 10 operation. There were contacts, there was discussion. Changes were made.'"



Aug 2004

## Readers Letters,

# Speaker's Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: [identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### >> Our Day Will Come!

Although I was initially disappointed at the Euro election results I soon began to see reasons for optimism, particularly as we picked up nearly a million votes. There were some other bright spots, such as more BNP councillors being elected including three in Epping Forest. This should stop any talk about the BNP only having success where there has been racial unrest.

The three main parties' campaign of talking up UKIP (to steal our votes) seems to have worked to an extent. But when the people get to thinking again about the problems of immigration, bogus asylum seekers and street crime and realise that the other parties, especially single issue parties like UKIP, have no real answer for dealing with them, they will turn to the BNP again.

The hypocrites of the main parties talk about how important democracy is, then use every dirty trick in the book to try and see off the BNP. But they never will! Next time we will come back stronger than ever. Readers, don't lose faith. Our day will come. The British National Party needs you and the people of this country need the BNP now more than ever.

**Rebecca Lebford  
Ayr, Scotland**

### >> Always Keep Your Nerve

Following the June 10<sup>th</sup> elections our slogan must be: *Always Keep Your Nerve*. Never throughout history have those seeking to bring about radical change managed to do so without setbacks and disappointments. We have to build upon the bigger picture, which shows that only a few years ago 'BNP' were no more than three letters of the alphabet in the minds of most people. Now it is doubtful if anyone above the sophistication of the average ten-year-old doesn't know about us.

Give credit where it is due. Those at the top of the party displayed considerable qualities of courage and leadership throughout many months leading up to the

elections. Apart from all the members won from the old parties, they inspired thousands who had never before been involved in any kind of party politics. The 800,000 votes are the firm basis upon which to carry on building the party and which in turn will enable it to attract a far larger mass vote.

The pseudo-nationalist UKIP is certain to be found wanting in terms of an all-round strategy and theory for overcoming the full ramifications of the old party system and of parliamentary connivance. Just as it will also be found wanting when presented with the mass of problems arising from the current phase of international capitalism, called globalisation, of which by far the most profound is worldwide mass immigration. In contrast the BNP, being part of a true

nationalism, can continue to draw on a whole tradition of thought and support from other European nationalisms to provide a countervailing force to the old parties and their academic associates. Indeed, there is no shortage of thinkers on the Right, mostly getting the better of the arguments.

The lively US conservative intellectual scene, centred on Thomas Fleming's *Chronicles*, should be read by BNP members and supporters online at: [www.chronicles.org](http://www.chronicles.org)

**James Agutter  
Oxford**

### >> Sarah Shames The Cowards

I was most impressed by the article in April *Identity* on "Indoctrination in our Schools" by YBNP member Sarah Cain. It was not just the thoughtful comment itself but, in particular, the fact that it was written by a thirteen-year-old girl prepared to nail her colours to the mast and openly state her BNP views.

I've been a party member for over twelve years and seen all sorts of so-called hard men bragging about what they were going to do for the party (usually under ample lubrication), but when it actually came to the crunch, they skulked back to their armchairs. These so-called men wouldn't go leafleting, give out leaflets or stand in elections for the BNP or even allow themselves to be identified as a BNP member for fear of what the neighbours/the media/their work colleagues/their black mates/their mummies, might think.

Young Sarah has shamed these cowards. I have two baby daughters. I hope they grow up to be as brave.

**Jay Lee  
BNP Medway Branch**



### The Great Debate

Following are extracts taken from some of the many and lengthy letters appearing on the BNP website on the Labour-inspired plot to try and cripple the BNP because it confines membership to people of European stock. Go to [www.bnpp.org.uk](http://www.bnpp.org.uk), then select "Great debate".

### >> A Degree Of Realism

While I understand that people don't want any ethnics in the party (ideally, I don't either), we have to be realistic. The BNP no doubt contains large numbers of businessmen who, in an ideal world, would not trade with any of "them", but who in modern Britain know that they have to. So, we should make up our minds to do the occasional deal and concentrate on making sure we make the price too high for most of "them".

Dave H,  
Norfolk

### >> Fight Them All The Way

I am disgusted that this issue has risen its head and in such a public way. The BNP leadership should fight this threat from the Government all the way. This is the thin end of the wedge. If the anti-white and anti-British Government forces the only whites-only political party to accept non-white and non-British members then there is really no hope left for Britain. They are demanding entry into "our house" and here we are talking about who should be accommodated in our very rooms. The Government must be challenged on this very basic issue. The BNP regularly makes good publicity against the witch-hunters in the CRE. Now we have a chance to defeat them in court.

Richard  
Rotherham

### Steve Blake Replies

A salient point made by Steve

Blake, BNP web editor was this: Richard, your defiance is admirable and it is the first emotion felt by anyone in our patriotic ranks confronted with this challenge upon our fundamental freedom of association. At a time when so-called human rights issues dominate the news it is ironic that a private group, namely the BNP, should be potentially forced to change its legal constitution to bring itself in line with the new politically correct regime of New Labour. I am led to believe that this is simply a battle we are unable to fight due to our lack of money. A minimum wage of £1,000 a day for a top barrister is not unusual....A possible outcome is that we lose the case.

### >> Ethnics Not The Real Danger

All the concern about the theoretical threat of large numbers of non-whites trying to take over the party really misses the key point. It isn't going to happen. If it was, it would already have happened to the FN, Vlaams Blok, Lega Nord and so on. Not one white nationalist party has ever been destroyed by non-white membership, but disastrously large numbers have been either wrecked or have gone off the rails (as with Fini in Italy) by being taken over by soft, liberal-Tory types who favour free trade, America and liberal-capitalist values generally. As well as an ideological defence of our values, why not an organisational defence as well? Change the Constitution to let a few token ethnics in, but also make voting membership open only to serious activists with a two or three year track record.

John Vaughan  
Former Green Party activist

### >> "It's A Sell Out"

It looks as if this is a total sell out. The decision seems to have been already made by the leadership to sell out White Nationalist principles. I have torn up my membership

card in disgust and shame. To allow in Blacks, Asians, mixed races is madness. I have given as much money as I could afford to help the party grow since 1983 when I joined. This is bad news. I never thought we would allow this to happen. Like a private club, surely we must be able to decide who we want as members. I don't understand why the BNP leadership wants to make this decision.

Geoff  
Activist from Croydon

### Nick Griffin Replies

No, we are not a private club, that's the problem. Geoff's understandable but hot-headed response indicates what we mustn't do, which is to jump to conclusions rather than think rationally. We are faced with an attack which is guaranteed to cripple or destroy us, sooner or later, if we do not deal with it. We already have two hostile organisations (the CRE and Black-Link) on our backs in this regard. For us to fight them in the courts would involve not only paying our own lawyers what would rapidly amount to scores of thousands of pounds but, if we lost (the almost certain result according to every legal person we've had look at the issue, and, even if we could win, the chances are that we would simply run out of money to fight the case before we got to that stage, so losing by default) we would also be lumbered with their costs. Since the CRE is a multimillion pound bank of tax-guzzlers, they will hire the best and most expensive lawyers possible, making us potentially liable for their legal costs of at least £750 per hour for a QC, £250 - £400 an hour each for two barristers, and £250 an hour each for their solicitors. And on top of paying all that, if we lost we'd have to pay compensation running into thousands for the offended ethnic, who would promptly be followed by a queue of his cousins stretching from here to Calcutta. How long would our members keep giving donations for us to hand straight to Phillips and a succession of Khans, Singhs and Winstons?





Aug 2004

## Repression

# Belgian Leftists' Threat to European Democracy



**Lee Barnes reports on the Belgian Supreme Court's action to ban the Flemish Vlaams Blok as a 'racist' party. It has wide implications for Britain in the light of impending legislation, instigated by the CRE, for a similar ban on the BNP**

On the 10<sup>th</sup> September 2004 a case will begin to be heard in the Belgium Supreme Court which will decide whether European democracy will survive in the coming decades. The future of all the nation states in Europe will be decided by these judges.

If they decide to uphold the decision in previous courts that the Vlaams Blok party is a "racist" party "proposing political solutions that are not in line with European and international human rights treaties" then the party will be banned from standing in elections and those who are activists with the party can be prosecuted. In this ongoing case, the Courts have already fined three non-profit organisations because they cooperate with the Vlaams Blok and support its internal structure.

According to the previous Appeal Court, chaired by Judge Alain Smetrijns, a Francophone from Ghent, the Vlaams Blok is racist because it proposes policies that leave immigrants only two options: "to assimilate or to return home."

## WELL FUNDED LIBERAL BIGOTS

The Ghent decision is the third ruling in this case which was initiated in 2000 by a government agency resorting directly under Prime Minister Guy Verhofstadt, the "Centre for Equal Opportunities and the Fight against Racism." The CGKR Centre for Equal Opportunities and Prevention of Racism is under the direct control of the Belgium Prime Minister. In 1999 the centre received a budget of 1.95 million Euros from the Prime Minister's budget and 11.53 million Euros from the National Lottery. It boasts a staff of 70.

The Belgian Constitution states that a political party can only be brought before a court with a jury - the so-called "Cour d'Assises." The Belgian regime feared, however, that a popular jury would never convict the Vlaams Blok. Hence, the government wanted a ruling by professional judges. Judges in Belgium are not elected or chosen by lawyers, but are appointed by the governing political parties. By bringing three non-profit organisations of the Vlaams Blok to court, the case could be brought before a non-jury penal court. The charge is that these three organisations "cooperate" with a party, i.e. the Vlaams Blok, that does not respect basic international treaties.

In 2001, a Flemish judge of the Brussels Penal Court refused to issue a verdict in the case, arguing that it is up to the electorate to decide the fate of political parties. In February 2003, the Flemish section of the Brussels Court of Appeal reaffirmed this ruling. The head of the government Race Relations gestapo thereupon declared that he would harass the Vlaams Blok with court cases until he could find a judge willing to convict the party.

After the Belgian Supreme Court, consisting of Fleming judges as well as Francophone judges, overruled the Brussels verdict last November, the case was brought before the Court of Appeal in Ghent, which is known to be a stronghold of Socialists and Liberals.

The three party organisations (one of them collects the party's government subsidies, another trains local party officials, another produces campaign videos) were heavily fined - 12,400 euros each. The verdict is intended to intimidate the Flemings into acquiescence with the state's plans for Belgium. Every party official



and every party member can now be brought to court as a "cooperator" of the Vlaams Blok and risks a similar fine.

When Guy Verhofstadt and Louis Michel came to power in 1999 with the first Liberal-Socialist coalition in over forty years, they said that their main priority would be the elimination of the Vlaams Blok. "The issue I want to be judged upon," Prime Minister Verhofstadt said, "is whether I will be able to stop the Vlaams Blok." (How many times have we heard British politicians say they want to stop the BNP?)

The court case against the party was initiated barely a few months after Verhofstadt took office. Belgium is currently facing a skyrocketing crime rate, floundering economy, and an immigration invasion, but according to Flemish traitor and spineless Belgian prime minister Guy Verhofstad, the biggest problem facing the country is the political choice of one quarter of the Flemish people. Only under liberal-fascist governments is democracy regarded as so dangerous that it must be suppressed.

The growing electoral appeal of the Vlaams Blok threatens the very existence of Belgium as a state. Belgium is itself in many ways an artificial state. Rather like Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia and the old Soviet Union, it is a creation of a political class rather than a historical and cohesive nation with a sense of nationhood. This is why Vlaams Blok are such a threat to the liberal establishment as they threaten to break up the very entity upon which the liberals have built their power base.

### ARTIFICIAL STATE

The creation of the European Union is itself another attempt by an unrepresentative political class to create an artificial European state that subsumes all the existing European nations into one vast amorphous mass. Only by deconstructing all the existing nation states of the European Union, and by banning all the political parties that perpetuate the ideologies of nationalism within the European Union, can the political barriers to this mega-state be removed.

Polls predicted that the VB was going to do well in future elections and could even become Flanders' (and Belgium's) biggest party. This was the reason why the Vlaams Blok had to be killed. The Ghent verdict has nothing to do with its "racism" but with its aim of Flemish independence. The Vlaams Blok is not a 'racist,' party in that it is a white supremacist party and neither are its 800,000 Flemish voters. It is only the liberals who seek to deliberately equate 'Racism' with 'Nationalism', though the same leftist pigs squeal with horror when the Right seeks to equate 'Socialism' with 'Bolshevism'.

Measures to destroy the funding basis of the party have already been set in motion. A few years ago, Belgium voted in a law restricting the private financing of political parties. This law made it illegal for politicians and parties to accept donations from companies as well as donations by individuals of over 125 euros. From then on, political parties were subsidised by the state in proportion with the number of votes they received in the

elections. Five years ago the Parti Socialiste (PS) proposed a bill to stop all state funding of the Vlaams Blok. "It is intolerable that the Belgian State should subsidise its enemies," the PS said.

The Ghent ruling allows the governing parties to rob the Vlaams Blok of all its finances. An appeal by the VB before the Supreme Court gained enough time to allow it to stand in the recent elections on 13th June. If, however, the Supreme Court reaffirms the Ghent ruling the elections will be the last in which the Vlaams Blok, Flanders' major opposition party, will be able to participate.

Belgium's left-wing establishment was handed a devastating blow in the elections for the Flemish parliament when the Vlaams Blok scored its best results ever. The VB received the vote of almost 1 in 4 Flemish voters or 24.2% of the vote.

This is almost a 10% gain from the VB's already high score in 1999. Vlaams Blok is now Flanders' single biggest political party. The only group to score higher was actually a coalition of two separate parties and this was by less than two percent.

In the largest Flemish city of Antwerp the VB came in at over 30% and in the bilingual city of Brussels the Vlaams Blok became the largest Dutch speaking party by far, with double the seats of any other Flemish competitors.

In European Union elections which coincided with elections for the Flemish Parliament, the Vlaams Blok also did well, picking up yet another seat in the European Parliament to bring their total to three.

Positive results came in from French-speaking Belgium as well. The Wallonian Front National, a partner party of both Vlaams Blok and its French counterpart, had been completely written off by media hacks and was expected to fade away in this election. Instead it more than doubled its vote in Wallonia to 8.1%.

This is why the decision of the Supreme Court on September 10<sup>th</sup> is so important to the evolution of Europe. If the politically appointed judges of the Supreme Court rule that Vlaams Blok is a racist party and uphold the ban on its existence and capacity to operate as a party, then the same logic and law will in due course be applied to all political parties with similar nationalist ideologies in Europe.



**Red White & Blue**

# RWB 2004



14th/15th August

The annual Red, White and Blue festival will take place on the weekend of 14<sup>th</sup>/15<sup>th</sup> August 2004, at the usual venue in Sawley, near Clitheroe.

All BNP members, supporters and friends are invited to attend this family festival, where you can meet old friends, relax and have fun. You are welcome to arrive on the Friday evening to set up camp!

## Tickets on Sale Now!

- Free to under 18's if you aren't eligible to vote, you don't have to pay!
- £20 per head at the gate
- £15 in advance from Trafalgar Club
- You can buy online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)

One price covers the entire weekend, no day rates apply.

**PO Box 14, Welshpool, SY21 0WE. Tel: 0870 757 6267**

Please make all cheques/postal orders payable to "Trafalgar Club", for more information and a full list of site rules and regulations please visit our website: [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15  £30

Overseas surface mail £16.50  £33

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50  £35

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24  £48

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25  £50

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 841, Balsham, Cambridge CB1 6WF. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50;  
80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

**Postage** - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50

# *police officer, reflects on: aces Of The June*

finally scotched that deception, and a new safety-valve was needed.

Step forward the United Kingdom Independence Party, the perfect patsy. A one-issue movement dependent upon the increasing exasperation of the public with the corrupt, socialist, bureaucratic EU, 'U-KIP' has been temporarily rocketed from obscurity.

A former TV personality effectively sacked for a so-called 'racist' statement, has given it a broader platform apparently at variance with the 'political correctness' of the major parties.

In reality, the UKIP is no more a champion of the native British than its openly liberal opponents, but its denial of 'racism' will be most conveniently viewed by the electorate in the same light as the disavowal ("I'm not a racist, but ....") commonly felt necessary by ordinary people on a daily basis before daring to make any 'politically incorrect' statement. Adding to this quotes from some of UKIP's more radical supporters enables the media to portray it as an 'ultra-conservative' political choice.

The more perceptive of that Party's own supporters are aware

that the enormous amount of largely positive publicity they receive had an ulterior motive, i.e. to provide the voter with an alternative to the BNP.

## WORKING CLASS SUPPORT

Although it would be foolish to view the political scene entirely through the eyes of one's opponents, their observations can provide insight. UKIP has been described as attracting support substantially from the 'Middle and Upper Class' and the BNP from the 'Working Class.' Certainly in the case of the BNP there is some truth in this, for the latter social grouping suffer more directly from the effects of mass immigration, crime, poor transport, health, and education services, and declining agriculture & industry; while the former classes are more often in a position to regard these results of liberal permissiveness, incompetence, and outright anti-British attitudes with disapproval, but without the impetus to actually 'do something' that comes from personal suffering.

The Working Class has never consciously subscribed to the liberal, anti-British policies of the Labour 'intelligentsia'; is very much in the majority; and, has the power to change Britain overnight, should it decide 'en masse' to use its vote. The BNP is thus by far the greater

threat.

But the second re ideological one, the quest

The most distinctive liberals is their abhor things traditionally British is entirely logical for them their prime objective the of 'racism', however de the many '-isms' adopted an attempt to portray no as aberrant, rather than warped view of life, in which is the number one

They have grasped that the basis for the phys and distinctive culture of racial one, which cannot destroyed until this real somehow shameful, and preserve it eliminated.

The BNP is the prepared to say what that our people and ou the product of a unique homogeneous European grew together over generations. It is b suggest that being French, or German, or ignore such a reality, an to include millions of simply because they allowed to superficially language and culture issued with 'British' certificates.

## SLIMY & CYNIC

It is to be expected Marxist fanatics, slimy professional politicians seeking bureaucrats will automatically the compulsory lib for their own ignoble rea

What is tragic is that seem to feel a genuine the decline of this country attachment to one or Home Nations, lack the character and perception out of the straightjacket imposed on their thinking decree. Pride in 'nation' tolerated by liberals defined only in terms of aspects such as birth issued documents, but as 'racism' if based on meaningful criteria such



## G UKIP

there was the United Kingdom Party. For decades, a genuinely patriotic if the MPs in the Party have been by an increasingly chip for the extra from a portion of desperately and as the MPs

Michael Howard, right-wing' in the Tory Party has